

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



December 2014 No 829

Battle-of-the-Wills BENDING TO JEWISH PRESSURE GROUPS

The Australian government bent to Jewish pressure to protect the Holocaust narrative.



**Australian Jews block change in local race-hate laws
Attorney-General George Brandis had earlier declared that citizens 'have the right to be bigots'**

BY JTA August 6, 2014, 5:41 pm



Australian Prime Minister Tony Abbott (photo credit: AFP/Ness Kerton)

SYDNEY, Australia - Jewish community leaders welcomed the Australian government's decision to scrap plans to repeal parts of the nation's race-hate laws.

Prime Minister Tony Abbott had pledged to dilute sections of the Racial Discrimination Act in a bid to safeguard freedom of speech, but when the government asked for community consultation, it received a chorus of condemnation, led by the Jewish community.

On Tuesday, Abbott announced the government's plans are "off the table."

"Leadership is about preserving national unity on the essentials and that is why I have taken this position," Abbott told reporters in Canberra. "I'm a passionate supporter of free speech and if we were starting from scratch with section 18c we wouldn't have words such as 'offend' and 'insult' in the legislation," he said. "But we aren't starting from scratch."

During the debate, Attorney-General George Brandis infamously stated in parliament that Australians "have a right to be bigots," a comment widely criticized within the Jewish community.

Jewish leaders have previously used section 18c of the law to litigate successfully against Holocaust deniers, anti-Semites and religious extremists, and lobbied heavily against the government's proposed changes.

Peter Wertheim, executive director of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry, said Tuesday:

"The Prime Minister has made a wise decision. The extraordinarily large number of written submissions received by the federal government opposing its proposed changes indicate that most Australians understand that racial vilification laws are a necessary last resort for the targets of race hate to defend themselves."

Wertheim added: "Although we were disturbed by the possibility of our legal protections being diminished, the extended public debate about the legislation has had a salutary educative effect in the wider community, and this can only be for the good in the long term."

While the Liberal government is staunchly pro-Israel, this issue - now neutralized - was the one bone of contention with the Jewish community.

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/australian-jews-block-change-in-local-race-hate-laws/>

PETER MYERS BENDS TO JEWISH PRESSURE

By Peter Myers - peter@mailstar.net
Sent: Wednesday, 19 November 2014 2:17 PM

Subject: Frederick Toben helps Jewish Lobby to block changes to 18C Hate Speech Law

Bob Carr, Australia's former Foreign Minister, recently announced himself a Friend of Palestine; decades ago, he had helped inaugurate Friends of Israel.

In his Memoirs, he alleges that Australia's Gillard Labor government had subcontracted MidEast foreign policy to Jewish donors. Labor MP Julia Irwin had long complained about Labor dependence on Jewish funding.

Because of his high standing in Australia and overseas, Bob Carr was able to get away with such statements. But ordinary Australians doing so might find themselves accused of Hate Speech or Racial Vilification – a criminal act under clauses 18C & 18D of the Racial Discrimination Act.

The most controversial part of that law is the lack of any objective measure; all that's required is that the Minority group, through its leaders, claim that it feels "Offended". The offender is then liable to criminal prosecution by the police and courts. Frederick Toben, a Holocaust Denier (or Revisionist, as he calls himself) had been successfully prosecuted under that Act.

The new Government of Tony Abbott had promised to change clauses 18C & 18D to provide for an objective measure.

Australia's Jewish lobby came out against the changes, quite early. "Jewish community opposes changes to 18C racial vilification laws", read a Sydney Morning Herald headline of April 29, 2014: <http://www.smh.com.au/federal-politics/political-news/jewish-community-opposes-changes-to-18c-racial-vilification-laws-20140429-zr14a.html>

Later, Aboriginal and Muslim groups join the campaign to block changes.

Toben asserted that the Jewish Lobby would be able to block the changes.

However, some powerful forces backed the changes – Attorney-General George Brandis, ABC Chairman Jim Spigelman, and Quadrant Magazine.

Writers from Spiked Online were published in the major media; Spiked is an online magazine, the successor to LM Magazine, which has always had a lot of Jewish writers, eg Frank Furedi and Melanie Phillips. They are Zionists, but support Free Speech and oppose the Culture War being waged by the Green Left.

It looked as if the changes would go through. But the Lobby was saved by its adversary Frederick Toben.

Toben couldn't keep his mouth shut. He insisted on making submissions to the public Enquiry and publishing them on his website. They were featured on the front pages of the Sydney Morning Herald and the Melbourne Age: "Holocaust denier Frederick Toben backs George Brandis' plans for discrimination law" <http://www.smh.com.au/federal-politics/political-news/holocaust-denier-frederick-toben-backs-george-brandis-plans-for-discrimination-law-20140513-zrbnx.html>

Toben argued that, whilst Brandis and the Australian public thought that the issue was about Free Speech (exemplified by the case of Andrew Bolt), section 18C had been designed by Jewish interests and "the sole aim of this section has always been to legally protect ... the Holocaust-Shoah narrative."

Toben congratulated Attorney General George Brandis for his proposed changes to a "flawed law, which only benefits Jewish-Zionist-Israeli interests".

Brandis had stated that Bigots should be tolerated for the sake of Free Speech. Now, here was Australia's best-known Bigot, bold and brassy, at the centre of the debate.

The debate had shifted, from one about Free Speech to one about the Nazi Holocaust – and Toben.

That was the end of it; a few weeks later, Tony Abbot abandoned the changes.

On August 6, 2014, the Times of Israel ran an article headed "Australian Jews block change in local race-hate laws"; the story was sourced from JTA:

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/australian-jews-block-change-in-local-race-hate-laws/>

Here was confirmation from the horse's mouth, of Toben's claim that the Jewish Lobby was the force behind 18C & 18D.

Toben says that his prediction, that the Lobby would be able to block the changes, was vindicated. Yet a more objective assessment would be that Toben himself was the best assistant

the Lobby could have. If he had kept his mouth shut, the changes would probably have got through.

Why did he enter the debate? He surely knew that the Lobby would make use of him. Whatever his reason, he has a different agenda from the rest of us. Because of him, we still don't have Free Speech in Australia.

Thinking that Toben might have an open mind, I suggested to him that he read the books of Otto Strasser, which had awakened me to Hitler's true nature. They are available at my website. But Toben had no interest. This was the second time I'd raised the matter with him, and I came to see that, unlike scholars who read all sides of a debate, Toben is more like a missionary – a Nazi Missionary.

Just as the Green Left movement was infiltrated by Trotskyists, Radical Feminists and Gay activists, the Dissident movement has been penetrated by Nazi Missionaries, especially since 9/11. Even Leftist people who are not Nazis by any stretch of the imagination have come out for Holocaust Denial.

It doesn't help that Israel is committing a genocide of the Palestinians, which we are not supposed to notice as we keep our focus on what Nazis did to Jews 70 years ago.

Is Hitler really a savior from the Netanyahus of this world, as Toben would argue?

Or was Hitler just another kind of Netanyahu? That's what I hold. I have tried to get behind the Nazi defences, to focus on Hitler's genocidal policy towards Ukraine and the Slavs.

That genocidal policy is expressed in HITLER SPEAKS, an account of conversations with Hitler in the years 1932-34, recorded by Hermann Rauschning, who was Governor of Danzig. When it was published in 1939, few believed that Hitler was the extremist depicted there.

Nazi Missionaries like Mark Weber dismiss it as a forgery. But the genocidal policy towards Slavs is also articulated in Hitler's Table Talk, a record of conversations and monologues of Hitler from 1941 to 1944, authenticated by Hugh Trevor-Roper and David Irving.

In Table Talk sessions from 17 September 1941 to 5th July 1942, Hitler says,

"The Slavs are a mass of born slaves, who feel the need of a master. ... It's better not to teach them to read. ... The real frontier is the one that separates the Germanic world from the Slav world. ... If these people are allowed ... to multiply too quickly, it will be against our interests ... Our interests demand just the reverse ..."

In Hitler Speaks, he says, "We are obliged to depopulate ... as part of our mission of preserving the German population. We shall have to develop a technique of depopulation. If you ask me what I mean by depopulation, I mean the removal of entire racial units ... surely I have the right to remove millions of an inferior race that breeds like vermin! ... It will be one of the chief tasks of German statesmanship for all time to prevent, by every means in our power, the further increase of the Slav races." These texts demolish the heroic image of Hitler cultivated by his missionaries.

Who does the Jewish Lobby prefer, Bob Carr or Frederick Toben? For them, Toben is an asset, a bogeyman who scares the public into sacrificing their Free Speech; the person they really fear is Carr, because he's both credible and unassailable.

Peter Myers – Australia

This material is at <http://mailstar.net/Toben-Carr-Lobby-18C.doc>

From: Fredrick Toben toben@toben.biz

Sent: Wednesday, 19 November 2014 6:19 PM

To: RePorterNoteBook@gmail.com

Cc: peter.myers@mailstar.net

Subject: From an Australian and former Catholic turned Atheist-Marxist-Trotskyist-Holocaust Believer who claims to be an intellectual

Perhaps of interest:

Peter Myers, with his lack of a moral imperative, would never understand my maxim: Don't only blame the Jews, but also blame those who bend to their pressure.

The below text highlights the flawed use Myers makes of his beloved atheistic-Talmudic-Marxist death dialectic, which does not solve any of our moral problems because Myers' mind functions only in win-lose categories, never in compromise. In this way he embraces intellectual hubris, which was one of the hallmarks of the Nietzschean philosophy of hedonistic inversion. Myers would shudder at the thought of introducing the Hegelian life-giving dialectic of compromise, which Wagner so admirably introduces into his musical creations. The battle there is one between power and love and how to attain the balance between these two fundamental human impulses. It is also not one where a trivial version exists in the form of "the power of love". Of course, for Myers there is no such thing as LOVE, only sexual exploitation a la Talmudic-Marxist ideology, of which he has been a good practitioner.

From: Peter Sault
Sent: Thursday, 20 November 2014 3:31 AM
Subject: Re: Frederick Toben helps Jewish Lobby to block changes to 18C Hate Speech Law

Hi Peter

Blaming the victim? Maybe the best friend the Jewish lobby has is you. As I recall you believe the Jewish 'Holocaust' fairytale. I, as always, am prepared to consider any forensic evidence to support it. But I have to see the evidence - and "Auschwitz" is not evidence of a 'Holocaust' anyway. I've been waiting a long time.

All that matters (to me) is the truth, which Fredrick speaks in respect of the 'Holocaust' and its legalistic protections.

Kindest Regards

Peter

From: michael mazur
Sent: Wednesday, 19 November 2014 11:52 PM
Subject: Re: Frederick Toben helps Jewish Lobby to block changes to 18C Hate Speech Law

Rather a strained logic on their part, that 18C stayed the same because you reminded them of why it was there in the first place!

But I note with interest, that the argument then - sleight of hand, somehow slips away from the usual - once again, Holocaust affirmation, to Hitler's musings about the Slav peoples, as now being in themselves unspoken justification for retaining 18C as is.

The tenor of it all is that they are all but ready to concede that the official Holocaust, were it to be introduced as even a supporting narrative in a 18C court case, would sound too caricaturish in the present day, given the accumulating weight of the mini holocausts of even just the last 13 years.

M.M.

Interesting collection on an arcane subject from Peter Myers. In Russia, many unusual people (perhaps freaks for their opponents) are excited about Arkaim. Personally I do not believe we know the origins of nations and tribes - because these theories change every year. Maybe we shall know one day, but meanwhile all that is guesswork.

Peter Myers also publishes a response by Toben. The verdict of our moderators (shamireaders) is surprisingly for Toben. Myers effectively claimed that Toben must keep his mouth shut for everything he says is counterproductive as Jews hate him. This is very poor claim for it gives the Jews a right to veto anyone of us

Israel Shamir

From: Peter Myers [mailto:peter@mailstar.net]
Sent: Saturday, 22 November 2014 8:13 AM
To: hopscotch
Cc: RePorterNoteBook@gmail.com; toben@toben.biz
Subject: Re: Nazis wrong about Aryan homeland; Reply from Toben on 18C
Richard,
Hitler himself was part-Jewish and part-African, according to DNA tests of his family:

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1305414/Hitler-descended-Jews-Africans-DNA-tests-reveal.html>

Toben himself hardly looks "Nordic". I look more so than he does.

Peter

From: toben@toben.biz
To: peter@mailstar.net; hopscotch@riseup.net
CC: RePorterNoteBook@gmail.com;
info@adelaidelinstitute.org; adam@israelshamir.net
Subject: RE: Nazis wrong about Aryan homeland; Reply from Toben on 18C

Date: Sat, 22 Nov 2014 13:56:13 +1030

Peter, do you really believe that nonsense about the DNA test? One thing I learned from studying the history and philosophy of science is that scientific results are never absolute and are always subject to interpretation. This is so especially if we follow the Marxist ideology that celebrates dialectic materialism where truth has no home, where truth is what you want it to be because, so it is falsely claimed, natural processes are all socially determined, etc.

If you look more "Nordic" than I do, the difference then is one of "mentality", of moral and intellectual values. You have embraced the Talmudic-Marxist death dialectic, which is life-denying and thrives on parasitic thought structures rather than on a developing of the inner "Godly" potential that all individuals innately strive to do.

I call this process conceptual "class-driven rational hubris" where LOVE has no home and where the slave mentality of master-servant thrives. Our consumer society has further developed this trend by relying on predatory capitalism's own internal logic - of unlimited growth maxims and principles of usury.

You may have the look of a "Nordic", whatever that means, but your mindset has driven you into a cul-de-sac where hatred and envy thrive in the form of hedonism and nihilism. And if that doesn't settle your feverish mind, then you can always play the victim of my conspiratorial mindset!

With best wishes.

Fredrick Toben

PS: For what it is worth, below I am copying material about this matter, which may clarify your thrustings that attempt to get a Jewish angle on the whole issue, and I do this in the knowledge that I have, not as yet, read **MEIN KAMPF**:

Hitler's Revolution Ideology, Social Programs, Foreign Affairs

By Richard Tedor, 2013, Chicago, Ill. USA. ISBN978-09883682-0-0

... Under Gross, the Racial Policy Office walked a thin line between the more relaxed criteria envisioned by Günther and many of his contemporaries, and the "blond rapture" they cautioned against. In 1934, Gross' colleague, Wolfgang Abel, published generalizations of Germany's ethnic tribes: the Nordic, Pfalzish, Eastern Baltic, Dinaric, Alpine, Western Nordic, and Western Mediterranean. He described physical characteristics, illustrated with camera portraits resembling mug shots, and collective personality traits of each. Abel offered for example, this profile of the Nordic type: "The least spontaneous, he surpasses all other races in steadfastness of purpose and cautious foresight. Thinking ahead, he subordinates his driving impulses to long-range goals. Self-composure is perhaps the most distinguishable trait of the Nordic race. In this lies a significant part of the ability to create civilizations. Races lacking this quality are incapable of following through and implementing long-term realizable objectives.

Pfalz Germans were "more steadfast than pliant, more grounded than adaptable, more level-headed than daring, more freedom-loving than power seeking." The Western Mediterranean German "takes life less seriously. Empty formula courtesies and insincere gestures play a major role, such as promising gifts and extending invitations he doesn't really expect people to accept. His inclination toward truthfulness and ethics is weaker than the Nordic person's.

Hitler disapproved of such comparisons. He especially opposed reference to physical contrasts of stature, coloring, or physiognomy among German ethnic groups. In 1930 he told an aid, "Discussions about the race problem will only divide the German people further, incite them against each other, atomize them, and in this way make them inconsequential with respect to foreign affairs." He admonished senior officials of the party to avoid the subject of ethnic diversity in speeches and articles: "Everything that unifies and welds the classes together must be brought forth, what divides them, what re-animates old prejudices, must be avoided ... They are the surest way to destroy a community." He remarked that people should be selected for leadership roles "not according to outward appearance, but by demonstrating inward ability." p.31-32

From: Peter Sault peter.sault@odeion.org
Sent: Sunday, 23 November 2014 9:42 AM
To: peter
Cc: Fredrick; info@odeion.org

Subject: Re: Toben "calls me Jewish" because I criticized his Own Goal aiding Lobby

Hi Peter

I think you have unknowingly arrived at the root of all the trouble - the Jewish Conceit, which is that Jews are the Eldest Race and must therefore be accorded special status. This goes back to the collapse of the Assyrian Empire, when the slot appears to have come up for grabs. After then Greek settlers

starting posing as "Egyptians" ('Egypt' being the Greek name for Aeria) and made their claim to senior status by reference to the Aereian pyramids. Most significantly, a leper colony which had been quarantined in a stone quarry on the eastern side of the Nile (ref. Manetho), escaped its confinement. Its number included several High Priests of Heliopolis... Peter

From: Peter Sault peter.sault@odeion.org
Sent: Sunday, 23 November 2014 9:42 AM

To: peter
Cc: Fredrick; info@odeion.org
Subject: Re: Toben "calls me Jewish" because I criticized his Own Goal aiding Lobby

Hi Peter

I think you have unknowingly arrived at the root of all the trouble - the Jewish Conceit, which is that Jews are the Eldest Race and must therefore be accorded special status. This goes back to the collapse of the Assyrian Empire, when the slot appears to have come up for grabs. After then Greek settlers starting posing as "Egyptians" ('Egypt' being the Greek name for Aeria) and made their claim to senior status by reference to the Aereian pyramids. Most significantly, a leper colony which had been quarantined in a stone quarry on the eastern side of the Nile (ref. Manetho), escaped its confinement. Its number included several High Priests of Heliopolis... Peter

On 22 November 2014 at 02:00 Peter Myers <peter@mailstar.net> wrote:
Toben "calls me Jewish" because I criticized his Own Goal aiding Lobby

This material is at <http://mailstar.net/Hyperborea-Ariosophy.doc>

1. Hyperborea, the "Aryan Homeland" in the Arctic postulated by Rosenberg

Date: Fri, 21 Nov 2014 03:27:33 - 0800

From: David Noone

Subject: Re: Nazis wrong about Aryan homeland; Reply from Toben on 18C

They never claimed Aryans were nordic geographically but racially.

Racial type of tokarian mummies shows aryans were nordics.

Aryans were not Asian in any sense. Hardly news Aryan homeland in this region

Reply (Peter M):

Alfred Rosenberg believed that the Aryan homeland was in a place in the Arctic called Hyperborea. Its capital was Thule. He wrote about it in his book *The Myth of the Twentieth Century*.

The Aryans were white people but they lived in Asia - in the steppe. That makes them Asian. Later they migrated to Europe and elsewhere.

2. Hyperborean Arctic homeland and Aryan "root-race", of Vienna Ariosophists

Jean-Sylvain Bailly (1736-1793)

By Dan Edelstein
Assistant professor of French
Stanford University

Jean-Sylvain Bailly was born in the Louvre and died less than a mile away, under the guillotine. [...]

But there was another side to Bailly's interests, one which may seem contradictory to his scientific studies. He was fascinated with the world before recorded history, which he peopled with a

marvelous and ingenious race, the Atlanteans. His antiquarian pursuits were largely inspired by Court de Gébelin's nine-volume *Monde primitif*, which claimed to describe an ancient, prehistoric yet sophisticated world in encyclopedic detail. [...]

But one person, an odd character named Helena Petrovna (a.k.a. "Madame") Blavatsky, took his ideas very seriously. Blavatsky was a founder of Theosophy, a mystical society whose credo was spelled out in her book *The Secret Doctrine* (1888). In this hermetic work, Blavatsky revived Bailly's theory (citing him twenty-two times), and incorporated his Hyperborean Atlantis into an epic story of continents and "root-races."

The fate of her Atlantis was tied to that of a particularly controversial race: the Aryans. As the Aryans migrated south to India, a "sub-race" sprung off from them, the Semites. The myth of a Hyperborean Atlantis thus made its entrance into the anti-Semitic, Aryanist ideologies of the late nineteenth century.

This period also experienced something of an "Atlantis" craze, with scholars and quacks penning books to prove that the lost continent had once existed in Morocco, sub-Saharan Africa, South America, or other locations. But the Bailly-Blavatsky theory found support among some of the more fantastical Aryan ideologues in Vienna (as Nicolas Goodrick-Clarke has detailed). It was from such circles that post-WWI German nationalist groups, such as the "Thule" society (named after the mythical capital of Hyperborea), derived many of their anti-Semitic and Aryan theories. Members of the Thule

society, in particular, were instrumental in assisting Adolf Hitler (who had probably read some of the Viennese Aryan theosophists when living in Austria) found the N.S.D.A.P., or Nazi party. One of them, Alfred Rosenberg, a close companion of Hitler's during his Munich years, placed the myth of a Hyperborean Atlantis at the heart of his massive doctrinal tome, *The Myth of the Twentieth Century* (1930).

Rosenberg begins this work by assuming the past existence of an Atlantis in the far North: All in all, the old legends of Atlantis may appear in new light. It seems far from impossible that in areas over which the Atlantic waves roll and giant icebergs float, a flourishing continent once rose above the waters and upon it a creative race produced a far-reaching culture and sent its children out into the world as seafarers and warriors. But even if this Atlantis hypothesis should prove untenable, a prehistoric Nordic cultural center must still be assumed.

The myth of a "Nordic cultural center" allowed Rosenberg to credit the Aryan race with all the great cultural achievements in human history: at different moments in time (coinciding with the greatest flourishes of civilization), the Aryans descended from their Northern perch to work their wonders in the Southern climes. The "evidence" of Aryan superiority thus rested on this key geographical situation: only if located in the Arctic Circle could the Aryans conceivably claim responsibility for both Eastern and Western accomplishments.

There are considerable differences between Bailly's and Rosenberg's interpretations of the Hyperborean Atlantis myth, and clearly Bailly should not be considered as Nazi precursor. But he does not deserve to be let off the hook completely, either. While he did not explicitly racialize his theory, he did seek to appropriate Eastern cultural advances for Europe. By making a Northern people responsible for the glories of India, he ultimately honored Western accomplishments, all the while praising the Brahmins. Enlightened Europe, in his story, was the true successor of Atlantis. Bailly thus provided later nationalist and racist movements with a powerful narrative that could authorize any number of ideologies. Playing with myths is always a dangerous business.

Further Reading

Edelstein, Dan. "Hyperborean Atlantis: Jean-Sylvain Bailly, Madame Blavatsky, and the Nazi Myth." *Studies in Eighteenth-Century Culture* 35 (2006): 267-91.

Godwin, Joscelyn. *Arktos: The Polar Myth in Science, Symbolism, and Nazi Survival*. London: Thames and Hudson, 1993.

Goodrick-Clarke, Nicolas. *The Occult Roots of Nazism: Secret Aryan Cults and Their Influence on Nazi Ideology*. New York: New York University Press, 1985.

Smith, Edwin B. "Jean-Sylvain Bailly: Astronomer, Mystic, Revolutionary, 1736-1793." *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society* 44 (1954): 427-538.

http://collections.stanford.edu/supere/page.action?forward=author_jean_sylvain_bailly§ion=authors

3. Toben "calls me Jewish" because I criticized criticize Hitler - his Own Goal aiding Lobby

From: hopscotch **Date:** Fri, 21 Nov 2014 05:29:20 -0500

Subject: Re: Nazis wrong about Aryan homeland; Reply from Toben on 18C

Peter,

It's interesting that Mr. Toben has basically called you a Jew (or at least Judaized). I've been reading you for years and enjoy your stimulating messages. I've often thought that you give off a "Jewish" vibe at times. When you write about leaving the Church you express no sorrow about your loss of faith. This does not mean that you should feel sorrow, in retrospect, for having lost your faith, but that if you had faith and lost it you would have gone through a painful period of processing your new reality. You talk about leaving the Church in a very clinical manner, as if you never had faith to lose, and so didn't experience any pain in having your worldview shattered.

You also have a kind of humorless (or at least not overtly humorous) and

robotic messianic quality to your personality that I've come to associate with Jews.

I'm surprised you've only recently read about Otto Strasser. When I read Nemesis I thought, "Strasser represents a middle path to being anti-Hitler and anti-Nazi without having to fall into the hands of the Jews." Most anti-Nazis are moral charlatans who are under the spell of the Jews, so I thought Strasser offered an ideal path to understanding Hitler. This may be the case. I'll have to study him more closely.

You wrote on your website (I read this years ago, so it may not be there any more) that people wonder if you're Jewish because of your last name. But I would detect a certain Jewishness in you even if you had a name that is never associated with Jews.

It's possible that you have no connection to the Jews and that my "Jew-dar" is off, but I thought I should point out that more than one person has wondered this about you.

As I'm sure you know one doesn't have to be a Nazi to be anti-Jewish. The Nazis failed to defeat the Jews. Perhaps the Church will experience some regeneration in the coming decades and the Justinian Code as applied to Jews can be reintroduced. The Church was a much more effective enemy of the Jews.

Richard

Reply (Peter M.):

The old Nazi trick. Call all your opponents "Jews". Doesn't take much intellect.

Toben used to feature my anti-Zionist writing on his website. I guess that I only "became Jewish" once I started criticizing Hitler.

Toben himself hardly looks "Nordic". I look more so than he does.

Hitler went to some trouble to hide his own Jewish ancestry - see items 4 & 5 below.

4. Hitler's part-Jewish ancestry attested by his sister-in-law Bridget Hitler

From: John taylorj1@mweb.co.za

Date: Sun, 16 Nov 2014 17:44:35 +0200

For your comment.

I read your comments on Rausching and from what you appear to say he wanted their enslavement and for them to be not allowed to have children rather than actual immediate genocide Rgds

John Taylor

Was adolf Hitler part Jewish
Hitler part Jewish... ridiculous.

As improbable as almost any idea.

The murderer of the six million. How can he be part jewish. Was he killing his own family.....and if that is even possible to believe then why!

But there has been a paper trail and accusations flooding around Hitler from earliest days.

What is the basis of the stories and how did they originate?

What is the evidence or is it total nonsense?

The rumours of Hitler's jewish ancestry actually started at a very early age. "Hitler's Vienna" by Brigitte Hamann further relates that many small papers in Germany in 1933 -- as Hitler came to power -- challenged Hitler's credentials to be an anti-Semitic, since he himself was part Jewish, the papers said. So even as he rode to power on immense funding did rumours circulate as to this strange Jewish anti-Semitic.

Next came the challenge from his home country of Austria.

An Austrian government investigation ordered by the Austrian Catholic Leader Dolfuss circa 1933 concluded that Hitler was the grandson of one of the Rothschild bankers. Dolfuss was assassinated by the Nazis in 1935. (See "The Last of the Hitlers" by David Gardner) and shortly thereafter when the anchluss (annexation) of Austria, the Nazis went around destroying Hitlers background information.

The dolfus document was never found but record and outline of it were kept in the SS and were later circulated

<http://www.truthforever.com/Inside%20he%20Gestapo.htm>

The next accusation of Hitlers jewish ancestry came from within the family itself. Paula Hitler, Hitler sister married an Irishman and eventually went to live in America. Gardner relates how Hitler's nephew, William Patrick Hitler, was given the equivalent of a quarter of a million dollars by Hitler after threatening to go public with the family rumor that Hitler's grandfather was Jewish

<http://www.cnn.com/2002/SHOWBIZ/books/02/05/last.hitlers.cnn/>

Bridget Hitler quotes Hitler's sister Paula: "Since [Adolf] started the race laws we have no grandfather, Adolf and I. Certainly anyone who wished could make a good deal out of that." (Memoirs, p. 175) Hitler's sister-in-law's wrote the book: *The Memoirs of Bridget Hitler(1979). The Hitler's of Liverpool,*" Mike Unger The book also comments that His grandmother received child support from a Jewish businessman who was probably an intermediary for his grandfather.

The last accusation was made by Hans Frank. Hans Frank was one of Hitlers leading administrators and was given the job of finding/ covering up any background to Hitler's ancestry. Frank related how he was sent to Hitler's birthplace, Braunau on the Inn, to find out for the Führer himself what investigators could find out. Hitler sent his troops to bulldoze all the graveyards in that area, and seize any relevant birth records in 1938 when he marched into Austria. As one observer said, "When we find someone under suspicion destroying evidence, we usually call him: guilty!" Frank wrote a final testament, "In the Face of the Gallows" in

which he related that Hitler was part Jewish.

The latest support for Hitler's jewish ancestry has come from genetic analysis.

*<http://www.haaretz.com/jewish-world/dna-tests-reveal-hitler-s-jewish-and-african-roots-1.309938>
*<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1305414/Hitler-descended-Jews-Africans-DNA-tests-reveal.html>

Genetic analysis shows that the Hitler family had jewish roots. That is now confirmed. What genetic analysis can not show was whether it was in recent or distant past that the ancestry originated.

There are other strange connections between Hitler and the jewish elite. These are strange and suggestive that something was going on.

Firstly there is the strange linkage between Hitler and the Ludwig Wittgenstein Ludwig Wittgenstein was one of the foremost philosophers of the 20th century, and shared many common interests with Hitler (including a possible homosexual bent Lothar Machtan's *The Hidden Hitler*(2001),)

They were in the same school together at Linz

<http://manofroma.wordpress.com/2008/01/10/in-absolute-asymmetry-i-want-to-find-symmetry/the-chandos-portrait-believed-to-depict-william-shakespeare-public-domain/>

Yet this is a dog that doesn't bark. Hitler in no discussion or writing mentions his connection with Ludwig Wittgenstein. Talking of writing the book *Mein Kampf* was written on a typewriter that belonged to the Rothschild's businesses. Hitler also obtained his Iron cross by recommendation of his Jewish Sergeant major

*<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1303804/Adolf-Hitler-loner-rear-area-pig-according-WWI-regiment.html>

Hitler also was employed as spy immediately after the war. That is how he joined both the communist party and the nazi party. At the time the German secret service was strongly influenced by on of the Warburgs relative to the banking family.

Later one of the backers of Hitler on his rise to power were the Zionists.

The Secret Contacts: Zionism and Nazi Germany, 1933 to 1941, by Klaus Polkeln, 1976, Institute for Palestine Studies; *IBM and the Holocaust*, by Edwin Black; *The Prisoner of Ottawa*, by Douglas Reed, 1953; *Red Symphony*, a transcribed interrogation Christian Rakovsky, 1938; *Who Financed Hitler*, by James Poole; and *Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler*, by Anthony Sutton; *The Transfer Agreement*, by Edwin Black; *Zionism in the Age of the Dictators*, by Lenni Brenner; *Perfidy*, 1961, by Hollywood screen writer and Irgun supporter, Ben Hecht.

Brüning a previous German government minister wrote:

I did not and do not even today, for understandable reasons, wish to reveal that from October 1928 the two largest regular contributors to the Nazi Party were the general managers of two of the largest Berlin banks, both of Jewish faith, and one of them the leader of Zionism in Germany.

Brüning [wrote that he] also knew that French sources, including the Schneider-Creusot works and both French intelligence services, had paid one half of Hitler's revenue from 1921 until 1932; that the SA and SS had before 1933 been equipped largely with revolvers and machine guns made in the United States.

Source: Letter from Heinrich Brüning to Daniel Longwell, February 7, 1948; Longwell collection, Butler Library, Columbia University, New York City.

What does this mean?

It means that history is more complex than we think. That politics make strange bedfellows.

Support by the Zionists for Hitler presumably went horribly wrong. Thinking that they could control him and wanted a degree of anti-Semitism to encourage Jews to go to Israel, they assisted Hitler to achieve that end . But He was not controllable and history happened.

5. DNA tests reveal Hitler was descended from Jews and North Africans

DNA tests reveal 'Hitler was descended from the Jews and Africans he hated'

By ALLAN HALL FOR MAILONLINE
UPDATED: 19:53 AEST, 24 August 2010

Adolf Hitler is likely to have been descended from both Jews and Africans, according to DNA tests.

Samples taken from relatives of the Nazi leader show that he is biologically linked to the 'sub-human' races he sought to exterminate.

Journalist Jean-Paul Mulders and historian Marc Vermeeren used DNA to track down 39 of the Führer's relatives earlier this year.

They included an Austrian farmer revealed only as a cousin called Norbert H.

A Belgian news magazine has reported that samples of saliva taken from these people strongly suggest Hitler had antecedents he certainly would not have cared for.

A chromosome called Haplogroup E1b1b (Y-DNA) in their samples is rare in Germany and indeed Western Europe. 'It is most commonly found in the Berbers of Morocco, in Algeria, Libya and Tunisia as well as among Ashkenazi and Sephardic Jews,' Mr Vermeeren said.

'One can from this postulate that Hitler

was related to people whom he despised,' adds Mr Mulders in the magazine, Knack. Haplogroup E1b1b, which accounts for approximately 18 to 20 per cent of Ashkenazi and 8.6 per cent to 30 per cent of Sephardic Y-chromosomes, appears to be one of the major founding lineages of the Jewish population.

'This is a surprising result,' said Ronny Decorte, a genetic specialist who agreed that Hitler probably did have some roots in North Africa.

'It is difficult to predict, what happens with this information, both to opponents and supporters of Hitler,' he added. The magazine says the DNA was tested under stringent laboratory conditions to obtain the results.

It is not the first time that historians have suggested Hitler had Jewish ancestry. His father, Alois, is thought to have been the illegitimate offspring of a maid called Maria Schickelgruber and a 19-year-old Jewish man called Frankenberger.

This would have made the man who inspired the Holocaust one-quarter Jewish.

Reports have suggested that Hitler's nephew, Patrick, tried to blackmail his uncle over the issue of Alois Hitler's parentage. Hitler asked his lawyer, Hans Frank, to investigate the claims and he announced just before the outbreak of the Second World War that they were 'without any foundation'. 'Hitler would not have been pleased about this,' added Mr Decorte, of the Catholic University of Leuven. 'The affair is fascinating if one compares it with the conception of the world of the Nazis, in which race and blood was central.'

'Hitler's concern over his descent was not unjustified. He was apparently not "pure" or "Aryan".'

DNA was also taken from American Alexander Stuart-Houston, 61, a grand-nephew of Hitler. He was trailed for seven days before he dropped a used serviette which Mulders said led him to the cousin in Austria - and the link with Hitler's sworn enemies.

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1305414/Hitler-descended-Jews-Africans-DNA-tests-reveal.html>

6. Hitler playing chess with Lenin, Vienna 1909

Date: Tue, 18 Nov 2014 23:27:27 - 0800

Subject: Re: Frederick Toben helps Jewish Lobby to block changes to 18C Hate Speech Law

From: blissentiablissentia@gmail.com****

Pictured:

**Hitler playing chess with Lenin
9:56AM BST 03 Sep 2009**

A picture of a young Adolf Hitler apparently playing chess against Vladimir Lenin 100 years ago has come to light.



An extraordinary etching of a young Adolf Hitler playing chess against Vladimir Lenin has come to light. The art work is by Hitler's Jewish art teacher Emma Lowenstramm who witnessed the game. Photo: BNPS

The image is said to have been created in Vienna by Hitler's art teacher, Emma Lowenstramm, and is signed on the reverse by the two dictators.

Hitler was a jobbing artist in the city in 1909 and Lenin was in exile and the house where they allegedly played the game belonged to a prominent Jewish family.

In the run-up to the Second World War the Jewish family fled and gave many of their possessions, including the etching and chess set, to their housekeeper.

Now their housekeeper's great-great grandson is selling the image and the chess set at auction. Both items have a pre-sale estimate of £40,000.

The unnamed vendor is confident the items are genuine after his father spent a lifetime attempting to prove their authenticity.

He compiled a 300-page forensic document that included tests on the paper, the signatures and research on those involved.

Experts, however, have questioned its authenticity especially the identification of Lenin who they say might have been confused with one of his associates.

When the etching was made, Hitler was 20 and Lenin was twice his age and the house was where politicos went to discuss things.

The etching is thought to be one of five and shows Hitler - playing with the white pieces - sitting by a window, with Lenin opposite him in half shadow.

It is titled "A Chess Game: Lenin with Hitler - Vienna 1909".

It raises tantalising questions about what the two men who helped shape the world in the 20th century might have spoken of.

Lenin was already a highly influential Russian figure who in 1907 went into exile once more after the revolution was crushed by Tsarist authorities. Richard Westwood-Brookes, who is selling the items, said: "This just sounds too good to be true, but the vendor's father spent a lifetime proving it.

"He compiled a 300 page document and spent a great deal of money engaging experts to examine the etching.

"The signatures in pencil on the reverse are said to have an 80 per cent chance of being genuine, and there is proof that Emma Lowenstramm did exist.

"The circumstantial evidence is very good on top of the paper having been tested.

"Hitler was a painter in 1909 and his Jewish teacher Emma Lowenstramm was the person who made the etching.

"There is some suggestion that when he came to power Hitler protected her and she died from natural causes in 1941.

"At the time, Vienna was a hotbed of political intrigue and the house where this game took place belonged to a prominent Jewish family.

"Lenin at the time was moving around Europe in exile and writing "Materialism and Empirio-criticism".

"His movements are hazy and it is known that he did play chess and later he certainly wore wigs as a disguise.

"It is also known that Lenin was a German agent and the house was where people went to exchange political views.

"The chess set is clearly the same chess set as that in the etching. It is a box chess set that folds out and the pieces are identifiable - particularly the kings and bishops.

"To my knowledge there are five etchings of this image, but this has the signatures of both men and the artist.

"The provenance is that it has come through the family of the housekeeper who was given it when the Jewish family fled in the late 1930s.

"The family is based in Hanover and it is the great great grandson of the housekeeper who is selling it.

"On all sorts of levels it is an extremely valuable artefact. Even as just an allegorical picture it shows the men playing chess possibly for the world."

Historian Helen Rappaport, who has just written a book called "Conspirator: Lenin in Exile", said the etching was probably a "glorious piece of fantasy".

She said: "In 1909 Lenin was in France and there is no evidence that he was in Vienna.

"In October he went to Liege in Belgium and in November he went to Brussels. He would have visited Vienna before and after that year.

"He liked the place and went there because he travelled around Europe on trains, but he wouldn't have been there long enough to meet a young Hitler.

"He was also as bald as a bat by 1894 with just hair on the sides of his head.

"And when in exile he was not known as Lenin and instead used a number of aliases.

"The person believed to be Lenin in the etching may well have been one of his revolutionary or Bolshevik associates who was misidentified.

"It may even have been an Austrian socialist with whom he associated in the Second International.

"The Germans did fund the Bolsheviks and gave them millions of marks for the revolutionary effort, but Lenin was not a German sympathiser.

"Although this is totally spurious it is wonderful to bring these two great megalomaniacs together.

"It makes sense retrospectively and the history of art is full of retrospective meetings between people."

The items are to be sold at Mullock's auction house in Ludlow, Shropshire, on October 1.

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/culture/culturenews/6130672/Pictured-Hitler-playing-chess-with-Lenin.html>

COULD THEY REALLY HAVE MET?

WHILE experts say it is feasible Hitler spent time playing chess at his art teacher's house, some cast doubt on Lenin's presence.

Hitler worked as a jobbing artist in Vienna in the late 1900s, copying scenes from postcards.

At that time Lenin was travelling in exile around Western Europe after the Russian revolution of 1905 was defeated by Tsarist authorities.

While he probably visited Vienna in 1908 and 1910, he was in France when the

drawing was created, historians say. Helen Rappaport, author of *Conspirator: Lenin In Exile*, said the Lenin figure in the etching could have been a misidentified Bolshevik associate of the revolutionary or an Austrian socialist.

But auctioneer Richard Westwood-Brookes said Lenin's movements at the time were 'hazy'.

He added: 'Lenin was working as a German agent and it would have been no surprise that he mixed in the same circles as Hitler.'



© SWNS

Article from *Daily Mail*, UK, 15 April 2010

Meeting of minds: The sketch of Lenin and Hitler playing chess is said to have been signed by the dictators
<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1266030/Your-Mr-Hitler-Sketch-said-Nazi-leader-playing-chess-Lenin-sale.html>

Hitler and Lenin playing chess – controversial sketch got to auction

April 14, 2010 | by [SWNS Reporter](#) | [2 Comments](#)

A controversial sketch of Adolf Hitler playing chess with Russian dictator Lenin is expected to fetch up £40,000 at auction.

The astonishing picture of the Nazi dictator pitting his wits against Vladimir Lenin is said to have been drawn by Hitler's art teacher Emma Lowenstramm in Vienna in 1909.

It is one of just three ever made but is the only one to have been signed by the dictators.

The pencil etching failed to sell last October after historians questioned its authenticity – but new evidence suggesting it is genuine has since come to light.

Forensic evidence proves almost beyond doubt that the signatures on the back of the etching are authentic.

And new details about Lenin's life in Vienna also proves he lived just three streets away from Hitler in the early 1990s.

The picture, which measures 20in (50cm) by 15in (38cm), is expected to fetch £40,000 when it goes under the hammer at Ludlow Racecourse today (Thurs).

A wooden chessboard, said to be the same one the two men played on, is expected to sell for £40,000.

Richard Westwood Brookes, for Mullocks Auctioneers, said: "Academic debate rages about the veracity of the image, with some suggesting that Lenin could not be the figure in the image as he was bald at the time. Others have pointed out that Lenin was known to conceal himself at this time on many occasions. Forensic tests showed an 80 per cent propensity that the signatures are genuine which is a very high reading. Some historians will always debate its authenticity but the evidence that it is genuine is compelling."

Historians have argued for decades over the picture but a 300 page dossier of evidence arguing for its authenticity will accompany the picture.

It was compiled by the owner's late father, Felix Ednhofer, who dedicated his life to proving it was genuine.

Experts believe the pencil markings on the back of the picture have been identified as being the signatures of Hitler and Lenin. Other historians argue that the figure of Hitler on the left – controlling the white pieces – appears too old in the picture.

Another point of contention is that Lenin appears to have black hair, but in reality he would have been bald at the time of the picture.

However, Lenin often wore disguises because the Russian police were tracking him.

Mr Ednhofer, who died in the 1990s, spent thousands of pounds compiling his dossier. In it he claims Miss Lowenstramm sketched the two men in her house, which was a gathering place for "free political thinkers".

He also tracked down the grave of Miss Lowenstramm, who died in 1941, and discovered Lenin lived just three streets away from Hitler in the early 1990s.

Mr Brookes said: "There is masses of evidence which proves the authenticity of this picture. Vienna at that time was the principle city in the world and the cross roads between East and West. Art was the reason Hitler was drawn to the city as were political thinkers from all over the world. Lenin was working as a German agent at the time and it would have been no surprise that he mixed in the same social circles as Hitler."

The etching and the chessboard will be auctioned at Ludlow Racecourse

<http://swns.com/news/hitler-and-lenin-playing-chess-controversial-sketch-got-to-auction-945/>

REJECTING THE PRINCIPLE OF GUILT-BY-ASSOCIATION

From: Ken O'Keefe
tip.kenokeefe@gmail.com

Sent: Friday, 10 October 2014 1:25

AM

To: Fredrick Toben

Cc: Mark Glenn

Subject: Re: Bending to Jewish pressure? And attendees being upset at meeting antisemites and Holocaust deniers! Where were the Holocaust Questioners hiding?

This line hits the nail on the head;
Dear Nader - the following report indicates that it matters not what Iran does because the Zionist world is bent on attacking and destroying it for the sake of establishing Eretz Israel.

And so by dis-inviting Mark Glenn, you merely confirm to the Zionists that there is a useless "bending to Jewish pressure", which in the Talmudic language of win-lose/life-death dialectic is regarded as a character weakness that will be rewarded through further punishment

I agree wholeheartedly Fredrick and I hope that whatever mistakes were made they are seen in the light of what you say above. I am still here in Tehran and trust me when I say that I am doing all that I can to help our hosts here understand that they must learn from all of this and never, ever make the same mistake again. If they can do this I hope that all can be forgiven and we move forward stronger. Iran is still a great ally in the cause of truth and justice, 'to error is human, to forgive is divine.' Having said that there are crimes that I would not expect anyone to forgive, but what the host here may or may not have done is not in that category. At least not in my view.

TJP

9 Oct 2014, at 06:51, Fredrick Toben <toben@toben.biz> wrote:

Mark and Ken

Just for your information.

Best wishes.

Fredrick Toben

From: Fredrick Toben
toben@toben.biz

Sent: Thursday, 9 October 2014 11:10 AM

To: n.t.messiah@yahoo.com

Cc: 'f.izadi@ut.ac.ir';

'emamat.adl@gmail.com'

Subject: Bending to Jewish pressure? And attendees being upset at meeting antisemites and Holocaust deniers! Where were the Holocaust Questioners hiding?

Dear Nader - the following report indicates that it matters not what Iran does because the Zionist world is bent on attacking and destroying it for the sake of establishing Eretz Israel. And so by dis-inviting Mark Glenn, you merely confirm to the Zionists that there is a useless "bending to Jewish pressure", which in the Talmudic language of win-lose/life-death dialectic is regarded as a character weakness that will be rewarded through further punishment - and the unresolved Palestine tragedy attests to that.

Iranians, since 1979, have attempted to find a home within their own minds and successfully have jettisoned the colonial cringe - but the overarching narrative of "freedom and democracy and consumerism-hedonism-nihilism" that Zionists have imposed upon a compliant world has further tightened the colonial occupational forces grip on this ancient Iranian mindset.

With interest I await further developments in the current ISIS attack on the various Middle East nations. After all, the ISIS-ISIL is a current construct of the former colonial powers that had cut up the Ottoman empire after the 30-year-war 1914-1945 - and is ongoing!

For many dissenting minds the globally pure, refreshing and liberating impulses coming from Iran have been welcomed, and Insha'Allah, perhaps Iran's inspired leadership will make an extra determined effort to grasp the current global taboo topics - HOLOCAUST/9:11/7:7/. After all, truth-telling is a moral virtue and where truth is no defence in any proceedings that demand difficult questions be asked, lies flourish and we then have an immoral situation and decadence-the downfall of a civilisation inexorably begins ...

Have a view of the latest offerings on VT's Gordon Duff -
<http://www.veteranstoday.com/2014/10/06/neo-9-11-plus-thirteen-years-a-decline-into-madness/>

With best wishes.

Fredrick Toben

Australia

=====
Mark Glenn says, quoting Rosie Gray's article, following:

"Gareth Porter says he never would have gone to a conspiracy conference in Tehran if he had known the real views of his fellow attendees."

This is yet another glaring example as to how this 'movement' to rid the world of the most threatening, dangerous menace ever to face mankind-Zionism-is doomed for failure.

When 'journalists' such as Gareth Porter claim that those who give credence to the SUBSTANTIATED AND REASONABLE theory that Israel had a hand in the 911 attacks (amongst others) as 'anti-Semites', what this means is that-in the words of Henry David Thoreau- 'There are a thousand hacking at the branches of evil to one who is striking at the root.'

Nevertheless, I wear it as a badge of honor that I caused weaklings such as Porter so much consternation that the conference organizers decided to disinvite me and that I made international news in such a prominent and public way.

<http://theuglytruth.wordpress.com/2014/10/08/us-journalist-regrets-attending-conspiracy-conference-in-tehran/>

U.S. Journalist Regrets Attending Conspiracy Conference In Tehran
Gareth Porter says he never would have gone to a conspiracy conference in Tehran if he had known the real views of his fellow attendees. posted on Oct. 7, 2014, at 1:29 a.m.

Rosie Gray BuzzFeed Staff
Gareth Porter speaking to Iran's Press TV at the conference. Via presstv.ir

WASHINGTON — An American journalist who attended and spoke at a recent [anti-Zionist conference in Tehran](#) said that if he had known the extremist views of other conference participants, he would never have gone.

The journalist, Gareth Porter, also said an official at the Iranian foreign ministry was involved in organizing the conference.

Porter, whose recent book *Manufactured Crisis* claims that Iran has never sought to build a nuclear weapon and that the evidence for its non-peaceful aims was concocted by Israel's Mossad, told BuzzFeed News that he was upset by the content of the conference and that the organizers had assured him that extremists wouldn't be there. He also said that Code Pink founder Medea Benjamin and Brazilian journalist Pepe Escobar, also in attendance, were equally upset about the conference. The conference last week featured several 9/11 truthers, Holocaust deniers, and anti-Semites, and included panel topics that accused

the Mossad of having planned 9/11 and claiming that the Holocaust is a "public myth," according to the schedule, which was posted online. Iranian President Hassan Rouhani canceled the conference last year but it was evidently allowed to take place this year. Also in attendance this year were former nuclear negotiators Saeed Jalili and Ali Asghar Soltanieh, according to the schedule.

Porter told BuzzFeed News in an email:

In August, after being invited to participate in the conference, I insisted on knowing what the specific topics for discussion would be for the conference, because the invitation did not specify that information. I finally got an e-mail from my foreign ministry contact during the earlier reporting trip. As a result of that e-mail, I objected to one of the individuals the contact told was being invited on the grounds that he was clearly an antisemite, not just an opponent of Israeli policy and the influence of the Israeli lobby on U.S. policy. My contact immediately wrote back to thank me for passing that information to him and said the person would not be invited to the conference. The person was not in fact invited. That fact, along with the identification of the three topics, finally made me comfortable enough to accept the invitation.

I found when I arrived, however, that there was a bewildering assorting of people and issues that went well beyond what I had been told would be the topics. I did not participate in most of the conference sessions, and in only one where the discussion veered off the announced topic of conflicts of interests between the United States Israel and the Zionist lobby into an extremist rant by an Italian whose name I had not previously known. I was so upset by what I had found he had written about Jews an Judaism that I complained immediately to conference staff, and I am quite sure my complaint reached the organizers. Medea Benjamin and Pepe Escobar were equally upset by that and other presentations that I had not witnessed myself.

We were all equally upset by the role played in the conference by 9/11 conspiracy advocates, and conveyed that unhappiness to the conference staff as well.

Had I known about the roles to be of given to people whose views I oppose quite strongly, I would not have agreed to participate.

According to Porter, he had met conference organizer Nader Talebzadeh, an Iranian television host, "during a previous trip and was on his show, and had no indication that he held extremist views on either conspiracy theories about 9/11 or jews." Talebzadeh previously hosted a conference focusing on the dangers of "Hollywoodism" as a response to the movie "Argo."

Porter was in contact with another organizer of the conference — an Iranian foreign ministry official "whom I had dealt with extensively during a reporting trip to Iran last summer. Again, I had no indication from the contacts with him that he held any such views either."

Porter said his travel was paid for by an organization called Catalytic Diplomacy. He emphasized that he was attending the conference as a journalist and plans to write articles based on his reporting there. His said his hotel room was paid by the conference. Catalytic Diplomacy, a nonprofit founded by former Federation of American Scientists president Jeremy Stone, "sponsors some work, mainly travel grants to encourage dialogue, related to trouble spots such as Afghanistan, Angola, Burma, Cuba, Iran, and North Korea," according to its website.

"It is sad, but definitely true, that the Middle East is full of crazies, of which the Holocaust deniers and the 9/11 truthers are, certainly, among the sickest," Stone told BuzzFeed News when asked if he was aware of what kind of trip he was paying for Porter to go on. "But in our half-century of efforts to prevent war, terrorism and genocide, and forestall the collapse of civilization, it is not feasible to avoid attending Middle East conferences that might, in the end, be attended by various nuts in varying proportions. In particular, antiantisemitism is endemic in the Middle East and rising."

According to another conference attendee, travel for other participants was covered by the NGO organizing the conference.

"The conference organizers, New Horizons, an Iran NGO, paid air fare and hotel but not visas, airport parking or other incidentals," said

Wayne Madsen, a 9/11 conspiracy theorist who was also at the conference, regarding his own travel plans. "I should add that Israel conducts such seminars in which they pay for hotel, business class air fare, and much more. Most of us flew economy on Emirates, Lufthansa or Austrian Airlines."

Porter provided emails that he exchanged with Reza Montazami, an Iranian foreign ministry official, in which the two of them discuss Porter's attendance at the conference and Porter says he will not go if Mark Glenn, an anti-Semitic conspiracy theorist who was also invited, attends the conference. The emails, in reverse chronological order and with contact information redacted, are below:

From: Gareth Porter
Date: Fri, Aug 15, 2014 at 2:35 PM
Subject: Re: Invitation
To: Reza Montazami

Dear Reza,
Thanks very much for these very helpful details about the conference. With this information in hand, I now have a clearer picture of how it will work and what is expected of me. I have only one problem at this point. One of the people who has been invited to speak at the conference, Mark Glenn, is someone about whom IPIS should be extremely wary. He is notorious for his hatred of Judaism and Jews, which needless to say, IPIS would certainly not want to be associated with.

He has posted a commentary on his blog, the URL for which is here:
<http://crescentandcross.wordpress.com/2006/11/07/judaism-is-nobody%E2%80%99s-friend/>

In this blog, Glenn says the following:

Judaism is nobody's friend, short of those few who profit from it, and they are certainly small in number, as we have already discussed. Whether these people are one of 'God's chosen' who do the bidding of their overlord Rabbis or whether they are one of those lowly Gentile types who were created for the purpose of serving 'God's chosen', it is the same—Judaism is not their friend. It works to no one's real benefit, short of those at the top who are giving the marching orders. It does not belong in the same class with other faiths dedicated to improving the individual and making

him or her more pleasing to the one responsible for all creation. It does not make people better, it makes them worse. It is like a highly radioactive element that can bring nothing but sickness and eventual death. It does not bring liberation but rather enslavement.

I'm quite sure that Foreign Minister Zarif would be quite upset to know that such a hate-monger is going to be speaking at a conference that is co-sponsored by IPIS, and would insist that he at least not be given a speaking role at the conference.

I have discussed this issue with Allison Weir, and she agrees with me that Mark Glenn's role in the conference is a very big problem that the organizers need to address quickly. It can be explained to Glenn that the organizers of the conference have learned that he has espoused a kind of extremist view that cannot be given legitimacy by allowing him to be a speaker — especially in light of the opposition of the Rouhani government to any expression of intolerance toward Jews.

I am prepared to send you scans of my passport and visa application immediately, if you can assure me that this issue will be addressed by the conference organizers.

I'm sorry to delay my acceptance of the invitation in this way, but I hope you will understand the difficulty that Mr. Glenn's participation presents. I would have the same reaction, of course, to the participation in a conference by someone who espoused the view that Islam is a faith that "bring nothing but sickness and death". I'm sure you have the same reaction.

All best wishes,
Gareth

On Fri, Aug 15, 2014 at 12:34 AM, Reza Montazami wrote:

Dear Gareth,

-The name of the conference is independent thinker and filmmaker.
- The two other main part the conference is related to Iran phobia, Israel lobby and Israel attack in Gaza.

- Each topic would be defined by one of the whether Iranian or international specialist and it will take two days. But as it has been mentioned it is not necessary your participation. You just give your lecture in opening the conference, in order to have enough time to follow your different meeting and interview which would be program.

- President Rohani, Dr Zarif and Dr. Salehi has been invited to be the speaker of the opening of the conference. But not yet confirmed which of them could attend.
- The speakers from Iranian part whether authorities or scholar would be at this level. In international part, may you look at the below list:

- 01- Alison Weir
 - 02- Art Olivier
 - 03- Judge Jim Gray
 - 04- Charls Wayne Madsen
 - 05- Cyrus MacGoldrick
 - 06- Eric Walberg
 - 07- Stephen Sizer
 - 08- Mark Glenn
 - 09- Mateuzs Piskorski
- Best wishes,
Reza

On Fri, Aug 15, 2014 at 6:05 AM, Gareth Porter wrote:

Dear Reza,

I appreciate your getting back to me with more information. This information is helpful, but it still doesn't tell me the name of the conference, or how the topics are being defined. As an independent journalist I can't commit myself to a conference, especially one in which I am expected to make a major presentation without knowing what the program is going to look like and who else is speaking. What is the title of the conference? Who is being invited?

I really am interested, of course, but I need much more information.

Hoping to hear from you soon!

Best wishes,
Gareth

On Thu, Aug 14, 2014 at 5:43 PM, Reza Montazami wrote:

Dear Gareth,

Thank you for your kind reply.

1- This conference is not sponsoring by IPIS. It's co-sponsoring with cinema organization.

2- Sure, there is a program.

3- As i mentioned, the main part of the conference is focused on the nuclear issue.

4- Some Iranian Authorities will speak, but you are the special speaker of opening the conference. Then you would be free, to have some meeting with religious thinker in Qom who are interested to meet you and we could prepare other meeting with different authorities. If you have any propositions in this regards or any other idea let me know.

5- Regarding the translation of your book, and your introduction, sure i will be in touch with her
6- Since the time is not on our side, please send a copy of your passport and visa form Implication, in order if you decided to visit, every thing would be ready.

Best wishes,
Reza M.

On Sun, Aug 10, 2014 at 9:07 PM, Gareth Porter wrote:

Reza,

I'm glad you wrote again. I realize now that a message that I had thought I had sent to you never actually went out. I had asked for more information about the conference. Is IPIS sponsoring or co-sponsoring? Is there a program? How much of the conference is focused on the nuclear issue? Who is speaking?. What role would I be expected to play? I could still come if you can just fill in some of the blanks.

Regarding the the translation of the my book, which publisher are you talking about? Are you speaking for the publisher with whom the original publisher, Just World Books, has signed a contract? I will need to check with her about writing the introduction to avoid any confusion about this.

Thanks and all best wishes,
Gareth

On Sun, Aug 10, 2014 at 12:01 PM, Reza Montazami wrote:

Dear Gareth,

It seems that your agenda not let you to attend the conference in late September.

Since your book has been translated to Persian, may i kindly ask you to write at least 2-3 pages as your introduction for Iranian reader. It,s going to be published in 3 weeks.

Best,
Reza

On Thu, Jul 24, 2014 at 1:49 AM, Reza Montazami wrote:

Dear Gareth,

I just wanted to ask, whether you received invitation and are you interested to attend in the International Conference on Resistance, which will be held in Tehran, Iran from September 27 to September 29, 2014. If you remember. If so and you are interested let me know

The ticket and hotel will be covered by conference. Iranian nuclear Question is a main part of the conference. Some religious thinker in

Qom are interested to meet you and we could prepare other meeting with different authorities. If you have any propositions in this regards let me Know.

Best,
Reza

Montazami told Porter that Glenn would not be at the conference after Porter brought it up, saying that he was "not aware" of Glenn's views on Jews and that "We don't invite him whether you come or not. It means that is vvery [sic] critical point for us. if not, what is the different between us and Takfirist. You know what means takfir. May you look at dicionary [sic], and you will find that it makes no differnt[sic] whether you are an American scholar or Libian [sic] primitive, While you hate other, you are takfirst just like Daesh."

IPIS, which Montazami says in the emails is not co-sponsoring the event, is the Iranian foreign ministry's think tank. Montazami did not respond to a request for comment.

Porter was also in Iran for the unveiling of the Persian-language version of his book at the [headquarters](#) of Fars News Agency, the news outlet connected to Iran's Revolutionary Guards Corps.

<http://www.buzzfeed.com/rosiegray/us-journalist-regrets-attending-conspiracy-conference-in-teh#4bkz2ck>

Antiwar Activists, 9/11 Truthers Gather In Tehran For Anti-Zionist Conference

Everyone from Code Pink's Medea Benjamin to French comedian Dieudonné M'bala M'bala.

posted on Oct. 2, 2014, at 7:33 a.m.

Rosie Gray BuzzFeed Staff

WASHINGTON — A number of American and European antiwar activists and conspiracy theorists have gathered in Tehran for a conference aimed at addressing supposed Zionist control of the United States, according to Iranian press reports and the [Anti-Defamation League](#).

Code Pink chief Medea Benjamin, journalist and former Cambodian genocide denier Gareth Porter, conspiracy journalist and 9/11 truther Wayne Madsen, and PressTV contributor Kevin Barrett are all

reportedly at the conference. Other reported attendees include Dieudonné M'bala M'bala, the anti-Semitic French comedian whose performances have been banned in several French jurisdictions, several Holocaust deniers, and former congressman Mark Siljander, who pleaded guilty in 2010 to being an unregistered foreign agent for an Islamic charity that the government said was connected to terrorism.

According to a PressTV [article](#) about the conference, the gathering's "goal is to unveil the secrets behind the dominance of the Zionist lobby over US and EU politics." The conference's chairman is Nader Talebzadeh, an Iranian state TV host who previously organized a [conference](#) about "Hollywoodism" as a response to the movie "Argo."

PressTV's video report from the scene includes interviews with Porter, who is also shown addressing the audience, and with Madsen, as well as Art Olivier, a former California mayor who is a 9/11 truther.

The conference was originally conceived under former president Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, though Iranian President Hassan Rouhani [cancelled](#) the conference in 2013 as part of Iran's softening toward the West in advance of restarting talks over its nuclear program.

Former Council on American Islamic Relations official Cyrus McGoldrick, also in attendance, has been Instagramming photos from in and around the conference:

[instagram.com](#)

Panel topics include "Mossad's Role in the 9/11 Coup d'Etat" and "The Israeli Lobby vs. the U.S. National Interest (especially as it relates to Middle East Policy)," according to the conference [website](#).

VIA [NEWHORIZON.IR](#)

CORRECTION

THE DESCRIPTION OF GARETH PORTER ORIGINALLY MISSTATED HIS CURRENT POSITION ON THE CAMBODIAN GENOCIDE. IT HAS BEEN CHANGED TO REFLECT THAT HE REVISED HIS FORMER POSITION ON IT IN 2010. OCT. 2, 2014, AT 8:30 A.M.

UPDATE

After this article was published, Gareth Porter clarified his views on Pol Pot's regime dating back to the 1970s, saying that he in fact changed his mind about the regime's

actions much earlier than 2010. He said in an email:

I've just looked up my statements on the Pol Pot regime's policies in 1978-90.

The first one was on the Today Show in June 1978, on which I appeared with William F. Buckley. My statements on the show were cited in my Wikipedia article for years, but someone has managed to get it removed — obviously not someone who is a supporter. I said that the Khmer Rouge regime had been responsible for massive numbers of killings and deaths from sickness and starvation.

In The Nation magazine, June 9, 1979, I referred to Pol Pot group's pursuit of massive agricultural construction projects at the cost of the health of the population and to the "atmosphere of terror in the countryside, particularly among the 3 million former urban dwellers who were regarded as suspect by the "old people". I also refer to a "massive new purge of those suspected of disloyalty" that began in early 1977.

In an essay for the book, The Political Economy of Foreign Policy in Southeast Asia, published in 1990, I wrote, that the former urban population of Cambodia, forced to become laborers, "were discriminated against in food distribution, and suffered mass starvation and arbitrary execution."

Those statements represented my realization in 1978 —not in 2010 — that I had been mistaken in my initial assessment of the policies of the Khmer Rouge regime. The statement I made to the interviewer in 2010 does not say that had just changed my mind. It said only that I had not been asked by anyone to talk about my views for a matter of decades. I trust that you can see the fundamental difference, in light of what had written many years earlier [sic].

Oct. 7, 2014, at 1:47 a.m.

http://www.buzzfeed.com/rosiegray/antiwaractivists911truthersgatherin.tehranforantizio?utm_term=fuyij#4bkz2ck

"Anti-war" journalist Gareth Porter derails discussion at Tehran truth conference, unwittingly exposes gatekeeper agenda

Ed note (Trevor)—Hot off the presses! It never ceases to amaze me how

unsophisticated is the approach of these people who try to enforce political correctness against the truth movement. EVERY TIME, it backfires, and they are left just standing there with their pants down, sucking their thumbs.

By now I am sure the many savvy readers of TUT have deduced that, while Judaism and its Rosemary's baby, Zionism, are the problem, that it is actually the left that protects the operation.

The "political correctness" enforcers of the Jewish left are the very equivalent of the force field protecting the Death Star. This piece is a tribute to our beloved, fearless captain, none other than Mr. Mark Glenn, the man who taught each of us to be our own Luke Skywalker... And one day, one of us will be the one who, as if in the scene in the original Star Wars movie, will switch off our Gentile X-Wing Fighter's instrumentation, and using the force of truth as our only guide, get inside our enemy's best defenses and deliver the shots which will finally connect with the core and detonate the Judaic Death Star once and for all. Inshallah, TUTers!!

Trevor LaBonte, 2014

It is becoming clear that the "anti-war" left is one of the most major hindrances in the truth community. They are the premiere enforcers of "political correctness," a cultural Marxist invention to remove all pragmatic solutions and effective discourse from the parameters of socially acceptable discourse.

Gareth Porter has made a rather stunning display of this phenomenon with his recent statements and curious behavior regarding the "New Horizons" conspiracy conference in Tehran. Porter hurled epithets at author and political commentator Mark Glenn for disbelieving in the insulting and physically impossible official 9/11 story and for pointing out a very uncomfortable fact that Judaism has no benefits for the gentile world, but is a religion of unchecked self-worship and moral relativism. Others gentiles who have investigated Judaism have determined that its core is composed of pure anti-gentile narcissism, which is extremely relevant due to the fact that the nuclear rogue, ethnic cleanser known as "Israel" just so happens to define itself as "The Jewish State." Why would "anti-war" activists endeavor to make this CRUCIAL discussion of Israeli politics within the context of Jewish culture into such a taboo?

Porter's tactics are typical tactics for the Anti-Defamation League, the unofficial Public Relations firm for the Zionist ethnic-cleansing project in Palestine. But for a supposed "anti-war" journalist, it exposes a very interesting and crucial facet of why the truth movement has difficulty gaining traction.

Anytime anybody gets the right answer, they are immediately called "anti-semites," "holocaust deniers," and "conspiracy theorists." Interestingly, the Zionists and their gatekeepers, possibly plagued by guilt and suffering badly from intellectual fatigue, often jumble up their own terminology, calling people "holocaust deniers" simply for making independent inquiries about 9/11 or the JFK assassination, two events

which happen to be damningly COVERED in Israel's and the American jewish mob's fingerprints. How is that 'holocaust denial?' On top of that, how can someone "deny" the holocaust if it is presented as a foregone conclusion that this event unfolded as presented in textbooks which happen to be published by the Rothschild jewish mob itself, and people are not even allowed to make up their own minds in the first place, before they can even be in a position to confirm or deny anything?

Looking at just the facts of 9/11, the entire internet is covered with hard evidence that Israel was the perpetrator. So why is the left making it impossible to discuss this? Why would Porter want to prevent Mark Glenn from speaking at the conference? Why was Porter so offended that speakers at the conference were discussing how to make knowledge of Israel's well-known attack on 9/11 go mainstream? Who does he think he is? Vladimir Lenin??? What would he do if someone wanted to expose Israel's and elements within the US Government's collaboration on the infamous attack on the USS Liberty on June 8, 1967, which was yet another false-flag attack, with the exact same motive as 9/11, to deceptively draw America into a war for Israel's bloody expansionist plans in the Middle East?

I find it odd that an American is trying to kill free speech in Iran of all places. Zio-Brainwashed Americans (sorry to be so redundant) will certainly have great cognitive dissonance trying to figure that one out, since they rather stupidly believe America to be a free country, and Iran, that country about which our "friend" Bibi Netanyahu is observably and frantically spreading the fattest whoppers imaginable regarding its alleged but totally non-existent "nuclear weapons program," is supposed to be filled to the brim with Moooooooooislamic extremists and other Zionist-conjured scarecrows and apparitions.

But we really need to thank clumsy gatekeeper shills like Porter for providing us with this important opportunity to determine exactly what the shill agenda is. Look at this statement that Porter selected out of the 15,000 posts at The Ugly Truth/Crescent and Cross Solidarity Movement website, written by Mark Glenn, and ask yourself if these statements are based on "anti-Semitism" or are just statements of pure fact:

"Judaism is nobody's friend, short of those few who profit from it, and they are certainly small in number, as we have already discussed. Whether these people are one of 'God's chosen' who do the bidding of their overlord Rabbis or whether they are one of those lowly Gentile types who were created for the purpose of serving 'God's chosen', it is the same—Judaism is not their friend. It works to no one's real benefit, short of those at the top who are giving the marching orders. It does not belong in the same class with other faiths dedicated to improving the individual and making him or her more pleasing to the one responsible for all creation. It does not make people better, it makes them worse. It is like a highly radioactive element that can bring nothing but sickness and eventual death. It does not bring liberation but rather enslavement."

If this statement caused so much woe and ire for Porter, why did he fail to point out what is troublesome or incorrect about it? All Porter did was throw a hissy fit and hurl epithets, perhaps even more pathetically as Barack Obama trying

to throw a baseball... but provided no facts upon which to base a real argument. This is the last bastion of lefty gatekeeper tactics, and signals to the truth community that the left have just burned their *last chance of keep the truth concealed*. To this author, there is nothing more satisfying that watching Zionists *panic* and sweat ice-cold bullets when their horrid crimes are discovered. Surely by now, Porter should have been able to deduce that when worn-out epithets like the ones Porter used so viciously are used where they don't apply, such as in cases of legitimate criticism of Judaism or against people bringing 9/11 facts to the table, what it means is that these epithets can no longer function effectively at silencing anything. Did Mark Glenn threaten to boycott the conference because some he didn't like was slated to speak there? There's not a snowball's chance on Bibi's fevered, sweltering forehead that anyone other than a treacherous gatekeeper shill would stoop so low. It makes even me just feel embarrassed for Porter that he would be so thoughtless as to screw up his own operation like this. I'm in shock, quite frankly.

Jewish power has been so thoroughly exposed for the corrupting agent that it is, that the standard cries of "anti-Semitism" no longer work, as the public is quickly waking up that the many malfeasances and treacherous false flag attacks by the "Jewish state" have been defended in this very suspicious ad hominem manner. It has gotten to the point that the "anti-Semite" label has become nothing short of a badge of honor for courageous and true journalism. Now that the term is dead and devoid of all meaning, what the hell are they going to call us now?

The website "Buzz Feed" ran the [story about Porter](#) today in a sad attempt to disgrace the Tehran conference which hosted massive heros like Dr. Mahmoud Ahmadinejad and Ken O'Keefe, but ended up unwittingly further exposing the cowardly, tactless, fact-less shill tactics of the left. Gareth Porter shot himself and his globalist friends in the foot, but only after sticking that foot right in his mouth. The entire truth movement KNOWS that Israel did 9/11, so why is it necessary to call someone an "anti-Semite" for pointing out what is bloody obvious to everyone who has taken ten minutes to investigate the event, starting with the facts and evidence, which all lead straight to Israel??

The gatekeeper establishment is finding it impossible to stem the flood of comments on their websites from people who aren't having any more "official" lies. The truth is going mainstream. It is going absolutely viral, due to a huge number of fed-up people being able to interact directly with news outlets in real time. The lies are being shot down just as soon as they take off, and it just so happens that the alleged "conspiracy theorists" are the ONLY people there providing facts and evidence.

Beneath the fluff article, I found these comments already obliterating the obligatory paid-Israeli hasbara:

*

Nikademus Lawman · Follow

The truth is anti-Semitic. So what is pro-Semitism? Anyhow, Porter is persona non-grata to the professional geopolitical experts and has been since he associated with Zbigniew Brzezinski's trojan horse of NIAC, who is equally in bed with the MEK who they had a faux litigation bout with

and siphoned donors' monies to pay for an extravagant \$180,000 sanction as a result of their loss against Hassan Dai. Porter's book is no revelation, but a series of stolen commentaries a la another Zionist gatekeeper, Noam Chomsky. The tactics of the fake left anti-war establishment are burned.

[Reply](#) · [Unlike](#) 9 · [Unfollow](#)
[Post](#) · [about an hour ago](#)

Nikademus Lawman

Laughing. So Carl Bernstein, Watergate Breaking journalist, a Jewish-American, the super-majority of US INTCOM, the former director of the US Army War College, Dr. Alan Sobrosky (also Jewish), countless academics, Larry Silverstein's insurance sorcery, the third building falling from which he could not "mysteriously" recoup, the 5 Israelis on record as being arrested for taping the event as it occurred and then being outed as Mossad agents, the Carl Cameron/Brit Hume expose only 3 months after 9/11 on pre-Murdoch purchased Fox News on Israeli spying in America and setting up the very NSA apparatus Snowden blew the whistle on years later, CIA documents showing Israeli Mossad posing as CIA agents to hire Jundullah, a known foreign terrorist organization to commit atrocities against Iran and its civilian to start a fake war (see Foreign Policy Magazine), Jeff Stein's exposes in Newsweek on how Israel is a top spy threat to the US, and the countless mountains of evidence pointing to real Israeli guilt, along with inside assets and Saudi intelligence, are anti-Semitic conspiracy "theories"? What

is pro-Semitic? Take meds, get help — stop defending the crimes of your elite with fake "race cards".

*

John Edward Kendrick

Shame on Mr. Porter for being part of the cover-up with such dismissive treatment of those activists who have investigated and who know that these names are key suspects in 9/11: Silverstein, Hellerstein, Hauer, Zelikow, Zakheim, Kristol, Goff, Lowy. Even Bibi said 9/11 benefitted Israel. Muslims have been slaughtered, cultures destroyed, countries overtaken based upon the big lies of 9/11. We must open the Pandora's box that connects psychopathic zionists and Israel to 9/11.

[Reply](#) · [Unlike](#) 4 · [Unfollow](#) [Post](#) · 2 hours ago

Medea Benjamin left the 9/11 movement and operates to conceal the Israeli connections to 9/11. She is Jewish. It was not muslims who planned, executed and continue the cover-up. One of the biggest clues is Jewish-dominated mass media complicity in the cover-up.

<http://ReDiscover911.com/>

*

Nikademus Lawman

They simply just exposed themselves. I suppose 9/11 truth is the litmus test and has always been. Ask Noam Chomsky about that.

*

[Reply](#) · [Unlike](#) 7 · [about an hour ago](#)

It appears the inept left can only succeed in exposing itself as the last line of defense to fall before the dam breaks and the truth about 9/11 and other jewish terrorist attacks bursts forth and covers

the entire landscape. This is a most positive development. The real truth and real anti-war movement is about to overtake the phonies who have dominated for decades up to this point. We are going to give birth to a truth baby, the time is here, and no one, not even the do-gooder Jewish left, can do a thing to prevent it from being born, wailing loudly, and changing everything about the way things used to be.

Hopefully, next year, Iran will catch on to the lefties and their transparent game to silence real, accurate, hard-hitting criticism of the type which is so important and so sorely lacking in the kosherized discourse. Iran should apologize profusely to Mark Glenn for its terrible blunder, and invite him to come back and deliver his address at the next conference, which will undoubtedly be even bigger than this most recent one.

All that being said, now that Porter has pantsed himself and the shill agenda to silence the entirely sane, fact-based arguments of good people like Mark Glenn has been exposed like a skinny, white butt, it follows logically that one should **read entries on Glenn's blog** to see what the Zionists and their gatekeeper stooges like Porter are so desperate to conceal. Also, here can be **Mark Glenn's many, many articles** which should be required reading for anyone who is serious about obtaining truth and trying to prevent the massive war the Jewish banksters are doing everything in their power to plunge us all into.

REJECTING THE PRINCIPLE OF GUILT-BY-ASSOCIATION

From: Mark Dankof kramfoknad@hotmail.com

Sent: Friday, 10 October 2014 3:46 AM

To: Ken O'Keefe

Cc: hamed ghashghavi; Reza Montazami; Mark Glenn

Subject: Mark Dankof to Ken O'Keefe on the Mark Glenn Broadside

I, too, am moving on. Like Mark, my survival depends on it. My wife is chronically ill, my career in the tank because of the risks all of us have taken in fighting this thing. I will concentrate on finishing my thesis on Christian Zionism and sustaining a theological German exam. It will be my last race. But I will have finished.

And then there are our "allies" in these endeavors. I and people like me were sold out by Pat Buchanan in San Diego in 1996. My church sold me out many years ago. The rotund Jew, Howard Phillips of the Constitution Party and the Conservative Caucus, sold me out for Netanyahu, Richard Mellon Scaife, Kenneth R. Timmerman, and their Zionist financed friends at the Council for National Policy. The sellouts Mark and I have endured in alternative media has now consummated in what the American

Free Press has done to an ill and failing Michael Collins Piper. Mark and I get to go to Washington, D.C. to move him and his library to Idaho on nickels. Piper's reward for his faithfulness in our mutual fight, after all his contributions of 35 years, is absolute abandonment, save for the friend in Idaho subjected to the despicable blindsight published yesterday. And now there are these people in the present Iranian government who sold us out for a mess of pottage, who traded us in for these Inside the Beltway, cardboard commentators and self serving careerists like Alison Weir and Gareth Porter. These people are absolute pieces of unadulterated shit. Every last one of them.

And you know why? Not because they sold out two decent Americans fighting a losing battle in their own country, a battle lost a long time ago. I can understand

why that isn't their concern. I can live with that just fine. What I can't stomach is the fact that they sold out their own average citizens in an unwinnable game with the Zionist beast. The truth is, they're no better than the last few criminal cabals in power in the United States. And the end result for the average, powerless, innocent people in both countries is impoverishment, slavery, and death. The Last Lion we have in this fight is Vladimir Putin. Time and history will judge how this turns out. This despicable blindsight of Mark Glenn tells me there has been a sea change in character from the previous Iranian presidential administration to this one. But I will reiterate what I said publicly yesterday. Mr. Montazemi owes Mark a public and unequivocal apology for this entire affair.

As for me, I will get on with life also. My projects in the next several days include a drive to Waco to a Texas prison to visit a 61 year old "Nigger Lady" in Rick Perry's Texas who serves time for possession of less than an ounce of cocaine while war criminals and Jewish bankers and casino owners flourish in Democracy and Freedom. Her family is preparing the meager items and care package for the drive.

Today, I am visiting the latest American kid to be flown in to San Antonio's Military Medical Center without limbs, courtesy of the perpetual War on Terror and Eretz Yisrael. I just hope the mother and father don't ask me what I really feel in my heart about any of this.

That is where I can still contribute something to someone in need, the voiceless, powerless victims of the New World Order and the criminal cabal that runs it.

As for the collaborationists with this cabal, who run conferences in both Tehran and Washington that ultimately serve no one save the careerists on both sides of the world in this sick game, their games can and will continue. I cannot change that.

But I'm not playing. As long as I'm doing things that don't pay my bills or support what I'm dealing with in my church, or at home, I'll do it for honorable people, less fortunate or blessed than I am. That doesn't include any of the people already mentioned in this brief missal.

Mark Dankof

Mark Glenn

crescentandcross@gmail.com wrote:

Kommander Ken,
thank you for the good words. I have 'moved on' as they say, as this is just par for the course, doing what I do. You have been stabbed in the back enough times to understand this all too well. It has been a humiliating and embarrassing experience, to say the least, but I am somewhat used to it.

I am not angry, but the entire ordeal has forced me to re-evaluate my involvement in any further business such as this. As it is now, due entirely to the work I have done in trying to see the truth told, I can't meet my family's needs, have almost no income outside of a few subscribers to the radio program and what little work I can get doing construction and odd jobs. Then, on top of all of this, the government of a country I have sacrificed everything to save from being Gazafied and Iraqiified treats me as though I am some sort of Ebola carrier and refuses me entry to speak--ON THEIR BEHALF.

What it underscores is the entirely dysfunctional nature of this 'movement'. People are running around like chickens with their heads chopped off, not really knowing what the issues are or what they stand for, and therefore trying to reason with people such as these and to achieve some sort of good that pleases our

creator has become something of an impossible task.

I thank you again for your (as usual) very profound words
mg

On Oct 9, 2014 1:09 AM, Ken O'Keefe tip.kenokeefe@gmail.com wrote:

Aloha all,
Brother Mark (Glenn), I love and respect you deeply and this whole thing makes me angry and sad. I would only suggest that you take a deep breath, take this as a challenge from God, as he loves you so, and knows that you come out from this stronger and wiser. In addition, the people here love you as well, and from what I understand it was the foreign affairs department that was holding back your visa, not the conference organisers. Now in what context the quote you site was written does have some bearing, i.e. your visa was already denied by an ass kissing new government that does not want to offend their imperial partners, cancelling the whole event last year as you know. If Mr. Montazami conveniently used that fact to entice Gareth Porter I can still understand your disappointment, but my hope is you could forgive him. You know I am an honour and integrity kind of guy, and have been targeted by similar thought police/sabbath goy like Porter, so I know how you feel. But when a man deals with injustice with dignity he only grows in character. I say what I say because I love you and want you to become even stronger for this, and I know that it has always been injustice that made me tougher and tougher. I hope this is the case for you now.

Brother Mark (Dankof), you are a loyal friend/brother, we should all be so lucky to have such brothers as you. I hope you reflect however, and if MG can come to terms with this, maybe you can to. I am pretty damn sure that those who may have done things in ways they wish they hadn't in retrospect, will admit their mistake(s) and ask for your forgiveness. If they do, I hope you will look to Jesus as your guide. It is such adversities that can make good friends even better friends. This is also my experience.

Forgive me the unsolicited advice, I simply do not want the tools of the tyrants having any real success in their subversions. A great opportunity is within our midst.

TJP

Subject: Re: Mark Dankof's observations on Reza Montazami's media statements on Mark Glenn

From: Mark Dankof kramfoknad@hotmail.com

To: hamed ghashghavi ghashghavi.h@live.fr

CC: Mark Glenn

<crescentandcross@gmail.com, Ken O'Keefe tip.kenokeefe@gmail.com>

**I can recognize bullshit when I see it.
And I've had enough of yours.**

Mark Dankof

On 8 Oct 2014, at 23:44, hamed ghashghavi ghashghavi.h@live.fr wrote:

Dear Mark (Dankof)
I was waiting Mark (Gleen) 's reply to have the Skype Conversation.
Please don't judge anyone & SPECIALTY Mr Montazami before discovering the history details.
Me, Ken Okeefe, Nader & Mr Montazami were discussing about it for hours.
This history has nothing to do with PressTV & I really don't understand why you're mixing every thing with this issue.
As soon as possible you are available in Skype let me know.

Date: Wed, 8 Oct 2014 13:51:59 - 0500

Subject: Re: Mark Dankof's observations on Reza Montazami's media statements on Mark Glenn

From: kramfoknad@hotmail.com
To: newsroom@presstv.ir
CC: crescentandcross@gmail.com; emadi@presstv.ir

I can't speak for Mark Glenn, but I'm quietly finished. Always the best to everyone at Press TV with all good wishes now and always.

Mark (Dankof)
www.ExpertClick.com/19-2281

newsroom newsroom@presstv.ir wrote:

Dear Mark Glenn and Mark Dankof,
I hope you both are doing well.
I would like to reassure you that there is no restriction of inviting you to Press TV's Programs.

For instance, I called Mark Dankof last night (around 5 PM San Antonio time) to invite him to TOP FIVE; he did not pick up the phone. I have some more emails from Mr. Dankof saying he is not available for some of requested programs.

Please note Mark Glenn was in Press TV's programs 22 times, from July to today. Mark Dankof has become very difficult to get a hold of. He was in Press TV's programs only 4 times (on July 30th, he participated in the Debate, the most important LIVE broadcast program of Press TV.)

If Mark Dankof is more available in the future, he is more than welcome to our programs. Brother Mark Glenn has been accepting our interview requests like before.

I hope you both will be in Press TV's programs more frequently. We appreciate your participation in the shows, and your insights.

Warm regards,
Hamid Reza

Newsroom Coordination Dept.
Press TV

Newsroom: +98 21 230 66 642-643

Studio: +98 21 230 66 600-605

Coordination Box: +98 21 230 66 606

From: Mark Dankof
kramfoknad@hotmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2014
To: Mark Glenn
Cc: Reza Montazami
Subject: Mark Dankof's observations on Reza Montazami's media statements on Mark Glenn

One presumes that Mr. Montazami would also like to explain why we have been denied visas repeatedly by his government, after ongoingly accepting supposedly good faith invitations to address these conferences on numerous occasions. These acceptances were undertaken at great personal and professional risk. The presentations prepared took much time away from other considerations.

But I believe I now understand through international media what is going on here and will be making no further mistakes about my own future project preparations and involvements in any of these activities.

I'm quietly departing Press TV also, although I understand the fine people I've worked with there had absolutely no role in these dishonest and nefarious games.

I'm also stating my position to Mr. Montazami directly, without using the international public media to blindside someone. That is far better and more honorable and honest treatment than the totally despicable and duplicitous methodology directed at us for no known reason and with no understandable context.

Mark Dankof

Mark Glenn
crescentandcross@gmail.com wrote:
Mr. Montazami, you are quoted in the following article--
<http://www.buzzfeed.com/rosiegray/us-journalist-regrets-attending-conspiracy-conference-in-teh?s=mobile#339um5u>

--having said the following--

'Montazami told Porter that Glenn would not be at the conference after Porter brought it up, saying that he was "not aware" of Glenn's views on Jews and that "We don't invite him whether you come or not. It means that is vvery [sic] critical point for us. if not, what is the different between us and Takfirst. You know what means takfir. May you look at dicionary [sic], and you will find that it makes no differnt[sic] whether you are an American scholar or Libian [sic] primitive, While you hate other, you are takfist just like Daesh.'

What I would like to know from you is whether or not this is an accurate quotation, and if so, is the 'American scholar' whom you are comparing to a 'takfist' and to 'Daesh' meant to be me? I would like to know if this is an accurate depiction of what you said before I issue a public statement about it.

Words of Wisdom from our Intellectuals

From: Israel Shamir adam@israelshamir.net shamireaders

Date: Fri, Nov 21, 2014 at 10:30 AM

Interesting collection on an arcane subject from Peter Myers. In Russia, many unusual people (perhaps freaks for their opponents) are excited about Arkaim.

Personally I do not believe we know the origins of nations and tribes - because these theories change every year. Maybe we shall know one day, but meanwhile all that is guesswork.

Peter Myers also publishes a response by Toben. The verdict of our moderators (shamireaders) is surprisingly for Toben.

Myers effectively claimed that Toben must keep his mouth shut for everything he says is counterproductive as Jews hate him.

This is very poor claim for it gives the Jews a right to veto anyone of us.

*

Nazis wrong about Aryan homeland; Reply from Toben on 18C. This material is at <http://mailstar.net/Nazis-wrong-Aryan-homeland.doc>

From: Peter Myers peter@mailstar.net

Sent: Sunday, 30 November 2014 12:40 PM

The Mont Pelerin Society is the "Comintern" of Capitalism. Never heard of the MPS? They chose a name that would not draw attention. The MPS was created by F. A. Hayek & Karl Popper. Nicholas Shaxson explains, below, the MPS links to the City of London, the Tax Haven network, and the British Empire. No, it's NOT dead.

Dissidents should avoid overstatement. It's our worst enemy, because it allows the focus to be shifted from THEM (the traitors' crimes) to US (our overstatement).

Also be careful what you say about Hitler.

He was not wrong about Jewish control, but he was wrong in his Collective Punishment approach, the same as Israel does to Palestinians.

He not only committed a genocide of Jews - which was largely a payback for the Jewish role in Bolshevism - but intended a genocide of Slavs as well. Not a very nice character.

Nazi missionaries are spreading Holocaust Denial, but their real agenda is to salvage Hitler's reputation. They also support the Confederacy and Segregation.

We dissidents have to be very careful not to get into bed with them.

Suppose that we hold Mossad, and traitors in the US Government, responsible for 9/11 and the Assassination of JFK. We want the focus to be on THEM. But if we are also Holocaust Deniers, they can shift the focus back to US - to our complicity in Hitler's genocides.

So, espousing Holocaust Denial is not only an historical error, but a strategic blunder of the highest order.

That does not mean that we should endorse the Holocaust Industry; there is a middle ground of "Good Guys".